

THE SUPERANNUANT

Newsletter of the South Australian Government Superannuated Employees Association Inc
Trading as S.A. Superannuants. Established 1927

Address Membership Applications/Renewals to:

The Membership Officer, S.A. Superannuants, P.O. Box 348, Modbury North, SA 5092
(08) 8264 4146. E-mail: raywen@bigpond.net.au

Address General Correspondence to:

The Secretary, S.A. Superannuants, P.O. Box 568, Torrensville, S.A. 5031.
(08) 8352 6504. E mail: cosme@senet.com.au

From The President

Sending *The Superannuant* to you as four separate sheets is something that has been forced on us by a last minute problem in moving to a new print and post arrangement. This issue includes an AGM notice, as well as a notice proposing an increase in fees, and so delaying dispatch until the problem had been solved was not an option. Happy new year to everyone!

Peter Fleming

Proposed Membership Fee Increases

Increased membership fees were discussed at the September Committee meeting, and as Treasurer I spoke to the General meeting later that day proposing an increase in the annual fee from \$10 to \$15. Fees were last increased in 2005. The response was that a \$15 p.a. annual membership fee still represents good value.

The next question was what to do about life membership fees, bearing in mind that we have an ongoing commitment to such members for many years, and that the proportion of life members (now 60%) is rising. New rates are proposed based on the following assumptions:

1. Investment returns of 4% pa after tax
2. Our expenses will rise by about 4% pa
3. Taking into account 1. and 2. a life membership fee should have a positive balance until the member reaches 80.

Using these figures the Committee proposes the following schedule of life membership fees:

Under 60 years	\$270 (35% increase from \$200)
60 to 65 years	\$220 (37% increase from \$160)
65 to 70 years	\$160 (45% increase from \$110)
Over 70 years	\$130 (44% increase from \$90)

If approved at the AGM these fees, and the \$15 annual fee, will apply from 1/3/2011. Until then all current fees apply.

Michael Evans, Treasurer

CPI Change: The Adelaide Consumer Price Index increase for June 2010–December 2010, was 1.20% and this same adjustment will be made to Super SA pensions from April, 2011

Annual General Meeting

Monday, 28 February, 2011, at 1 p.m.

Pilgrim Centre, 12 Flinders Street, Adelaide

Agenda:

1. Apologies.
2. Minutes of the 2010 Annual General Meeting.
3. Annual Reports: President, Treasurer.
4. **Proposed fee increases (see item opposite).**
5. Election of Officers and Committee for 2011 (see item on page 2).

----- Guest Speaker:

Stephen Rowe, CEO of Super SA: 'My Super'

Speaker Program for General Meetings:

March-June, 2011

(Speakers and topics subject to change without notice)

March 28: Dean Wall: Assisting men and families to make informed decisions about prostate cancer.

April 18: Patrick Bourke: Serving the Empire in Nyasaland (Malawi). Note that this is one week earlier than normal due to the Public Holiday falling 25 April.

May 30: Kevin Fielke: Information about services available to victims of crime

June 27: Don Thorpe: The rise and fall of Port Adelaide (not Port Power)

Disclaimer: Readers should not act, or refrain from acting, solely on the basis of information in this newsletter, but should consult the relevant authorities and advisers.

In This Issue of <i>The Superannuant</i>	
Items	Pg
From the President Proposed fee increases CPI Adjustment AGM Notice and Speaker Program	1
Nominations for 2011 Committee Changes to <i>The Superannuant</i> ACPSRO AGM report	2
ACPSRO AGM report (cont) SA Superannuant activities in 2011	3
Financial Statements for 2010 Trustee status for state super boards	4
Trustee status for state super boards (cont)	5
SSS 'Membership' for State MPs Speakers Corner	6
From Budapest to the Black Sea Fees and their payment	7
Membership applications and renewals Membership matters	8

Nominations for the 2011 Committee

Nominations received at 21/1/2011 were:

President: Peter Fleming

Vice-President: Clive Brooks

Secretary: Vic Potticary

Treasurer: Michael Evans

Membership Officer: Ray Hickman

Assistant Secretary: No nomination

Guest Speaker Coordinator: No nomination

Committee member (up to six positions): Arnulf Anders, Claire Withers

In accord with the constitution, where a nomination has been received for a position and has not been withdrawn, no further nominations for that position can be accepted at the AGM. The vote of members present will be for acceptance or rejection of that nomination. Where vacancies exist nominations will be accepted on the day.

The Association needs more new blood on its Committee. Improvements like twice yearly superannuation indexation are never just offered.

Your Committee of the day fought for this improvement. And don't think that your existing superannuation rights are immutable. What Parliament has given, Parliament can take away. Not likely? Talk to existing public servants about changes to their long service leave entitlements.

Clive Brooks

Changes to *The Superannuant*

This issue of *The Superannuant* has been printed and sent to members by the organization 'Post Haste' which is owned by the

Phoenix Society. The sophisticated equipment of Post Haste has allowed for inclusion of your member details in the newsletter itself. Assuming all has gone according to plan you will find these details printed in the left-hand column of page 8.

You should now find it more convenient to renew your membership and/or change your address details. However, this greater convenience for you has not been the only reason for the change.

The Post Haste arrangement should save about 50 hours of work over a year by removing the need for envelopes to be addressed and newsletters inserted in to them. The strategy of getting this work done by member working bees has been tried but it requires venues to be organised, times to be arranged and key Association members still end up significantly involved. *Ray Hickman*

ACPSRO AGM Report

Peter Fleming and I attended the AGM of the *Australian Council of Public Sector Retirees Organisation (ACPSRO)* in Canberra on October 11, 2010. In the past this Organisation has been almost exclusively concerned with lobbying for improved indexation for Commonwealth superannuation pensions and eventual flow-on of this to state superannuation pensions. However this year there was a strong recognition of the importance of other issues such as taxation, and means testing for age pension and the Commonwealth Seniors Health Card. This is a good thing as, despite there being some hopeful signs in the indexation battle, even if improved indexation happens it is likely to be years before State superannuants, like us, receive the benefits.

Senator Gary Humphries (Lib, ACT) was the guest speaker and he confirmed that the Coalition pre-election promise to improve indexation for some (89%) Defence superannuants remains their policy today (it is hard to see how, if this is passed, the remaining 11% of Defence superannuants could be denied it).

On being quizzed by representatives of Commonwealth civilian superannuants, he accepted there was a strong case for improved indexation of civilian pensions also. Peter and I pointed out that although there would be a cost to the Commonwealth from such improved indexation there would be some offset for the Commonwealth in reduced age-pension payments, other Centrelink benefits and increased tax revenue (collectively called clawback by the

meeting), whereas for State-based superannuants improved indexation would be a cost to the State concerned but the clawback would flow to the Commonwealth. We argued that in such circumstances the Commonwealth should remit to the State an amount equal to the clawback, otherwise no State would ever give its superannuants improved indexation. Senator Humphries agreed that this is a good argument but described it as a 'bridge too far' at this stage.

The Coalition's offer to the Defence personnel has caused a bit of rethinking by the Commonwealth civilian retirees as well as those represented by the State organisations. It was assumed that all Commonwealth superannuants would gain improved indexation simultaneously, whereas now the Commonwealth civilians will still have to fight for improved indexation after it has been granted to Defence people. This may have contributed to the increased interest in the non-indexation issues like taxation, age pension and the CSHC. These issues are important in their own right and have the advantage of not being as sectional as the indexation issue has turned out to be. Any improvements in these matters would flow on to all defined benefit superannuants.

There was great interest in the list of non-indexation issues prepared by Mike Duff and published in the January/February 2010 issue of *The Superannuant* (loss of the Seniors Supplement, loss of SA government energy concession credits, poorer access to the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme, poorer access to the Medicare Safety Net and increased cost of medical treatment). There was also an awareness of the adverse effect, on untaxed-source superannuants, of the increase in the income test taper rate.

Other items of interest were:

- The Greens and the three independents in Federal Parliament all support improved indexation for superannuants
- Much of the action in the present Federal Parliament will centre round the Senate, with bills being introduced that the Government will want to reject but may not have the numbers needed in either House to do so.
- Unfunded liabilities for superannuation pensions are much greater than the annual cost. The unfunded liabilities are not used

for the age pension, so why is it done for Government superannuation pensions?

- Senator Kate Lundy (Labor, ACT) has indicated to the *Superannuated Commonwealth Officers Association* that flow-on of better indexation to state superannuants might not be a big problem.
- There is a lack of transparency in the ABS Consumer Price Index (CPI) calculation. 'Quality' adjustments are made to many shelf price increases with the result that only a small part of the shelf price increase is included in the CPI change.

Michael Evans

SA Superannuant activities in 2011

The Association will be raising the indexation issue with the State Government again. When we raised the issue several years ago we were told bluntly that it was not a goer. Since then, though, the Federal Opposition has committed to improved indexation for military pensions and the Greens in the Tasmanian Government have expressed support for it.

Also at the state level we will be working on Trustee status (or its equivalent) for state superannuation boards (see item on page 4). We will be getting MPs to face the fact that the superannuation arrangements applying in South Australia are different from those applying **everywhere else** in Australia.

At the Federal Government level the Association will continue its lobbying for removal of inequities in the taxation and means testing of untaxed-source defined benefit pensions.

We will be pointing out to Federal MPs that untaxed-source pensions only exist because some Governments, including the Federal Government, declined to deliver them as taxed-source pensions. This places an onus on the Federal Government to ensure that recipients of untaxed-source pensions are not worse off as far as taxation, and age pension, or Commonwealth Seniors Health Card eligibility is concerned.

Keep writing those letters! It has been encouraging to hear from members who have written to their Federal MP on one or more of the issues that the Association has been pushing. When MPs hear from an organization like SA Superannuants they do take notice. But they take much more notice when they also hear from constituents about the same things. *RH*

Financial Statements Summary 2008/10

Note: The 2010 figures are unaudited. At this time of producing the newsletter the Association's books are with the auditor and the audited financial statement will be available at the AGM. ME

Income and Expenditure			
Year	2008	2009	2010
Income (\$)			
Balance brought forward	7791	6772	8290
Subscriptions	13809	13224	14925
Bank Interest	46	39	57
Raffle Proceeds	292	180	47
Tax refund	1160	217	0
Donations	0	15	135
Sundries	0	0	8
Investments matured	42258	0	0
Interest on investments	982	2424	0
Total	66339	22872	23462
Expenditure			
Hall Hire	1244	1166	1117
Govt Debit Tax	5	0	0
Fees	881	736	776
Executive Expenses	2027	2299	2283
Investments Made	47569	404	0
Sundries/Donations	1276	1118	990
Taxation	1301	1083	3544
Photocopies/Stationery	610	997	977
Insurance	740	742	742
Newsletters(print/post)	3918	6038	6217
Cashbook balance C/F	6722	8290	6816
Total	66339	22872	23462
All monies received during the year were banked, and all accounts paid were passed for payment at the respective committee meeting during the year.			

Investments			
Value (\$)	2008	2009	2010
Term Deposits			
Cominvest	21977	22380	22380
Bank SA	26290	28226	29693
CBA	27888	28929	29991
Shares			
Argo	15219	19409	19150
Investments total	91374	98944	101214
Plus			
Cash on Hand	7	7	0
Cash in cashbook	6772	8290	6816
Total of cash and investments	98153	107241	108030

Trustee Status for State Super Boards

In the May/June 2010 issue of *The Superannuant* the Association published a copy of a letter it had sent the State Treasurer, Hon Kevin Foley MP calling for consideration to be given to the South Australian Superannuation Boards to be reformed so that they operated as Trustee boards in close compliance with the Federal Government's *Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act, 1993*.

Mr Foley has replied to our letter and we have written back to him. These two letters are published below.

Letter from the Treasurer to the Association

Dear Mr Potticary

I refer to your letter of 21 April 2010 regarding the structure of the public sector superannuation arrangements in South Australia. Firstly, please accept my apologies for the delay in providing a response to your letter.

I have noted the view of SA Superannuants in relation to the governance arrangements of South Australia's public sector superannuation schemes. Whilst I acknowledge that most other public sector superannuation schemes have moved to either operate under, or in close compliance with the Commonwealth's *Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act 1993*, the additional benefits to employees of such a move are unclear.

I wish to assure you that while the SA public sector schemes are not governed by the *Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act* by virtue of being exempt public sector superannuation schemes, they are nevertheless subject to stringent prudential control by the State Government. Many would argue that the State Government controls are much more stringent. State controls include statutory member entitlements as opposed to personal benefits held under a trust, Department of Treasury and Finance supervision, auditing by the Auditor General, actuarial investigations and high levels of reporting including the tabling of annual reports to Parliament.

Whilst the governance structures of the South Australian public sector superannuation schemes may be somewhat different from those in other states, the most important point is that the South Australian Government remains committed to supporting the principles of the Commonwealth retirement incomes policy. This policy is not only

reflected in the *Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act*, but in numerous other Commonwealth statutes as well.

In the event that the existing governance arrangements as set down in the state statutes are to be reviewed, SA Superannuants can be assured they will be consulted.

Yours sincerely
Kevin Foley MP

DEPUTY PREMIER, TREASURER

Clearly the Treasurer sees no need for change. In its second-last paragraph his letter refers to a State Government commitment to support the principles of the Federal Government's retirement incomes policy. He is referring here to a *Heads of Government Agreement on Superannuation* signed by the Commonwealth and all State Governments. In South Australia this agreement is ineffective because no reference is made to it in any South Australian superannuation act. It is the Association's experience of seeing the *Heads of Government Agreement on Superannuation* ignored by state governments (Labor and Liberal) that is behind our desire to see Trustee boards established in South Australia.

Letter from the Association to the Treasurer

Dear Mr Foley,

Thank you for your letter of 14 September 2010 dealing with public sector superannuation arrangements in South Australia.

In proposing Trustee status, and close compliance with the Commonwealth's *Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act (SIS)*, for the South Australian superannuation boards the Association is not proposing changes to prudential controls. We expect that *Funds SA* would continue to be the investment manager for the superannuation assets of the state's public sector schemes. The Department of Treasury and Finance would continue in its present relationship with *Funds SA* and the Auditor-General would continue to be the auditor for every public sector scheme.

Our concern is with:

- a) the right of members to have the superannuation assets held in their respective schemes used to the members' best advantage and
- b) the restricted capacity that the Super SA Board has to act independently of the Treasurer.

We believe that the South Australian superannuation funds cannot, under current

arrangements, be run having due regard for the best interests of members. This is because each state superannuation act specifies that the relevant board is merely responsible to the Treasurer for administration of its act. This is not appropriate for an Australian superannuation board in the 21st century. It reduces the Board to a mere administrative entity within the Department of Treasury and Finance and no other state has such a primitive arrangement still in place.

We believe that a superannuation board must have the capacity to act independently of the Treasurer except in prescribed circumstances such as when an action would require additional contributions from the Government.

The general advantages that we expect to see come from trustee status, and SIS compliance, for South Australia's superannuation boards are:

1. Boards will be able to respond more quickly to changes in Commonwealth superannuation legislation.
2. Board positions will become more attractive for qualified and experienced people.
3. There will be a reduced requirement for the Parliament to become involved in superannuation matters that can, and should, be handled by a trustee board.

Given that the public sector superannuation boards in every other Australian jurisdiction operate under trustee arrangements, or similar arrangements, it seems to us that the much different arrangements applying here in South Australia need to be reviewed.

Yours sincerely,
Vic Potticary

During 2011 the Association intends to put its views about the state's superannuation arrangements, and their possible reform, before all members of the state parliament. We know that the initial response from some MPs will be something like 'what difference does it make whether the state super funds are run by a trustee or by the Department of Treasury and Finance?'

This is easy for MPs to say. They probably don't need, and they may not want, a trustee for themselves. They have the power to make the rules affecting their own superannuation, as the next item illustrates. When it comes to interpretation of rules they do this for themselves too. But this is not so for the rest of us. *RH*

SSS 'Membership' for MPs

There is a membership category of the Parliamentary Superannuation Scheme called the PSS3. Members in this category are the MPs elected at the state election of 2006 and afterwards. Their benefit is an accumulation benefit funded by employer contributions made to the parliamentary scheme. The contribution rate was, at first, 9% of salary which is the contribution rate for ordinary government employees who belong to the Southern State Superannuation (SSS) scheme.

Late last year the employer contribution for PSS3 members was increased to 15% of salary by a Bill that passed through both houses of state parliament in less than 24 hours. This Bill included a provision that received no attention but which illustrates quite starkly that South Australia's superannuation boards have little power to determine, or defend, the best interests of the members of the schemes they administer.

Last year's Bill provided for PSS3 members of the parliamentary superannuation scheme to become 'members' of the SSS scheme by electing to purchase death and invalidity insurance from that scheme. There is no requirement that the MP have his/her superannuation contributions paid into the SSS rather than the parliamentary scheme. The insurance an MP purchases from the SSS would be in addition to free insurance of up to five times annual salary that he/she has through membership of the parliamentary scheme.

The SSS is a self-insuring fund which meets its own insurance expenses by collecting compulsory premiums for basic insurance cover from all members (real members, that is). This has been very successful, creating an insurance reserve of \$93 million which allows the SSS to provide cheap insurance for both compulsory cover and additional voluntary cover. It is quite inappropriate for MPs to have access to insurance cover from the SSS when their contributions are not being paid to the scheme.

In the writer's opinion the Super SA Board, if it was a Trustee Board, or a Board with equivalent powers and responsibilities, would have been obliged to tell the Government, and been comfortable doing so, that MPs could only have access to the SSS insurance arrangements if their superannuation contributions were being paid into the SSS as applies for all other members. *RH*

Speaker's Corner

Populate or perish? That is/was the question. How about Australia with a population of 200 million! In the 1920s that was the forecast. How was this figure achieved? Some bright spark worked out how many times a country the size of Spain fitted into Australia and then multiplied that number by the population of Spain. *Voila!* There's your answer. That was only one of the many varied details with which Emeritus Professor Alaric Maude peppered his talk to members at the November meeting in 2010.

Professor Maude, whose speciality is in regional development, posed quite a few questions. What is a sustainable population for Australia? He believes the answer is literally, *a hot potato*, and can't be found. There are too many variables to take into account. Too many value judgements would need to be made but these are politically and socially unacceptable.

Migration is the real determinant of how fast the population of Australia grows. Australia has doubled its net migration from 150,000 in 2005/6 to 300,000 in 2008/9. According to the Bureau of Statistics, by 2050 with no migration, Australia's population will be 24.5 million. With net migration of 220,000 p. a. it will be 38 million.

Certain aspects of migration are difficult to control. For instance, family reunions are politically difficult to resist. There are expats returning home, maybe with partners of a different nationality. There are employers who demand particular skills in a labour force. There are the needs of an ageing population to consider.

Just when members had recovered from these points Professor Maude introduced the concept of the economics of happiness and the ramifications of this idea. Too many people and you have a reduction in people's sense of well being. Traffic congestion, city sprawl, infrastructure that can't cope, environmental damage, increased greenhouse gas emissions – the negatives grow.

Professor Maude ended, though, on a positive note. With larger cities we have a bigger local market, a livelier atmosphere and more efficient running costs. We need to reskill our current workforce. There is a light at the end of the tunnel. We need to act smarter with what we have. *Christine Venning*

From Budapest to the Black Sea

"You did what on your holiday?"

"We cycled from Budapest to the Black Sea."

As seasoned campers who love to travel through remote places, and have been living for some time in London where we rely on two bicycles to get around rather than the two cars we had in Australia, it seemed natural for us to go on a cycling holiday. We chose a section of the Danube Bike trial that runs from Budapest in Hungary to Tulcea at the western end of the Danube Delta National Park in Romania, near the Black Sea. With side trips - a cycling journey of about 1850 km to be completed over 20 or so days. Our preparation involved cycling a lot around London before booking trains to Budapest via Paris, Munich and Vienna.

Armed with *Bikeline's Cycling Guide: Danube Bike Trial 4* we set off from Budapest with some trepidation on July 16th with two panniers full of gear each, tent, two folding chairs and a basic tool kit, only to have to stop and administer first aid twenty minutes from the start! Paul had come a cropper off his bike! That, thank goodness, was the only accident.

Unlike other parts of the Danube trail, the section we had chosen was not thick with camping grounds but we soon learnt to find suitable spots to spend the nights. One of these was a grassy place on the banks of the Danube outside a restaurant where we had just had a meal and a couple of half litres of beer. Another was under a tree in a vineyard and, at another, a Romanian herdsman's cheery, toothless morning greeting reminded us that we'd camped on a main pathway that cows, ducks and goats use each day!

The special memories of our trip include the many friendly and generous people we met. Several times we were presented with tasty ripe tomatoes to eat with the wonderful local fresh bread. Pierre, the Serbian cycle workshop man, who spent an hour repairing a broken pannier rack and would not let us pay as it was his 'gift to visitors to my country' gave us a reminder about how we should treat others. Of course the Danube river and the delta, were wonderful.—Elwyn and Paul Henderson, London, January 2011

Editor's note: Paul is one of the Association's six overseas members. Others live in New Zealand, the Philippines, France and other parts of the UK.

Fees And Their Payment

Current Fees: Annual = \$10. Member for Life: age under 60 = \$200, age 60-65 = \$160, 65-70 = \$110, over 70 = \$90. These fees may change from 28 February 2011 if a proposal for fee increases is approved at the Association AGM.

Proposed New Fees: Annual = \$15. Member for Life: under 60 = \$270, age 60-65 = \$220, 65-70 = \$160, over 70 = \$130.

Members paying fees after 28 February can establish their fee payable on the Association website or by contacting the membership officer (tel 82644146, e-mail: raywen@bigpond.net.au).

Receipts: *These will be sent for Life Membership Fees but members requiring a receipt for an Annual Fee must enclose a stamped self-addressed envelope.*

a) When paying by cheque or money order please send your payment to

Membership Officer

S.A. Superannuants

P.O. Box 348

Modbury North SA 5092

b) **When paying by electronic funds transfer**

Please make sure that when the payment arrives in the Association account it is accompanied by your surname, initial and suburb. Otherwise we may not be able to recognize your payment.

Our Bank is **Bank SA** and other details are:

BSB 105-900

Account number: 950313840

Account name: SA Superannuants

c) **When making an in-person deposit into the Association's bank account** you must notify the membership officer (in writing or by e-mail) that you have done this and the date. This type of payment can be very difficult to assign otherwise.

d) **New members and payments by electronic funds transfer or in-person deposits**

If you are a new member paying by one of these methods please also send a membership application form to the Membership Officer so that your necessary details can be recorded.

On the next page a form is provided for existing members to renew their annual membership or convert it to a membership for life or notify a change of address. A separate form is provided for new members

Membership Applications/Renewals

Complete the form below that applies to you, cut out and return to the Membership Officer

✂-----

Existing Members

Change of address: your financial status, name and address is currently recorded by the Association as:

- «Paid_to» «Date»
- «Title» «Surname»
- «Address_1st_line»
- «Address_2nd_line»
- «Address_3rd_line»

If the above information includes errors, or if any of your details have changed, please write the correct, or new, details below.

.....

Payment enclosed:

- a) Amount of Payment: _____
- b) Purpose of Payment(tick one box):
 - Renewal of annual membership
 - Change to life membership

Date of birth _____ (life membership only)

Telephone.....

Signature.....Date.....

✂-----

New Members

I wish to join the Association as a new member.

Title.....First Name.....

Surname.....Tel.....

Postal address

.....

.....

Date of Birth..... (life applications only)

- a) Amount of Payment: _____

- b) Purpose of Payment(tick one box):
 - Annual membership
 - Life membership

SignatureDate.....

2010 Executive Committee

- President:* Peter Fleming
- Vice-President:* Clive Brooks
- Secretary:* Vic Potticary
- Assistant Secretary:* Kevin Crawshaw
- Treasurer:* Michael Evans
- Membership:* Ray Hickman
- Speaker Coordinator:* Peter Fleming (acting)
-
- Committee Members:*
- Arnulf Anders, Claire Withers (4 vacancies)

Membership Matters

MEMBER AWARDED LIFE MEMBERSHIP OF RAH CANCER RESEARCH FUND

‘To suggest Maureen Goodwin is a valued member of our staff would surely underestimate her worth...’ so commences the article in *Hanson News* official organ of the RAH Cancer Research Fund. It speaks of Maureen’s dedicated service for more than 20 years that has resulted in the award of Life Membership. At present she puts in two days a week but has worked as many as five. Maureen has also had to battle severe health problems along the way. While SA Superannuants are aware that many of us do voluntary work we are pleased that Maureen’s special efforts have been recognised and we extend our congratulations to her. —VP

New Members of the Association

Peter Croft; D J Barratt; R S Turner; John Eaton; John Summerton; John Evans; Olivia Lewis; Nic Carstensen; W A Harris; Henryk Wolosiuk; Roger Rankin; Jose Abat; P N Murray-Harvey; T Adamczyk; Colin McGee; Douglas Sayers; Matthew Garam; Erla Hicks; Karlis Lazdins; Peter Mitchell; J B Hadaway; Malcolm McDonald; John Charles; Peter Wilson; Dr M Vannitamby; Joy Fletcher; Tom Dyster; John Newbury; Pam Miller; Barry Leon; Lydia Clark; Geoff Moulds; Mark Hazelwood; Tony Hughes; Robert Keavy; Dr John Ling; Michael Wedd; Allen Weinert; Graham Prior; Brian Gibson; B Mathison; Philip Cashen; J McDowall, John Parkinson; Philip Marlow; Richard Bruggeman; John Stafford; Graham Gibbons; Lorna Johns; R Ward; Gladys Barnes; Glyn O’Brien; Graham Rawnsley; William Bagley; Raymond Parker; Des Staite; J Lam; Wayne Anderson; Peter Cleal; O T Scott; Greg Handke; Mick Townsin; Eric Hobson; A D Paull

Current Membership:
Annual 650; Life 951; Total 1601